This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,393	04/27/2001	Elliott Woodard Harris	AUS920010102US1	1332	
7590 07/29/2004		EXAMINER			
Duke W. Yee			JACOBS, LA	JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
Carstens, Yee	& Cahoon, LLP				
P.O. Box 802334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75380			2157		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/844,393	HARRIS, ELLIOTT WOODARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LaShonda T Jacobs	2157			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ap	oril 2001.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 April 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07222004</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

4 Application/Control Number: 09/844,393

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: note reference numerals 114, 116 and 118 of Figure 1 and reference numeral 332 of Figure 3. Corrected drawing sheets, or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant needs to provide the serial number for co-pending application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the second electronic document" in lines 24-25. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,393 Page 3

Art Unit: 2157

5. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the second electronic document" in lines 28-29. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 6. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the second electronic document" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 14 recites the limitation "the second electronic document" in lines 16-17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wynblatt in view Nielsen.

As per claims 1, 9 and 12, Wynblatt discloses a method, computer program product and system for rendering an image area, associated with a hypertext link to an image document having content for the image area, contained within an electronic document, comprising:

- retrieving a header element for the image document (col. 3, lines 37-63, col. 5, lines 23-26 and lines 37-40); and
- rendering, non-visually, information contained within the header element (col. 4, lines 61-67 and col. 5, lines 1-26).

However, Wynblatt does not explicitly disclose:

Art Unit: 2157

when at least one of the following occur:

i) rendering a requested electronic document containing the image area, and ii)receiving a selection of the image area.

In an analogous art, Nielsen discloses a method and apparatus for detecting and presenting client side image map attributes including:

when at least one of the following occur:

i) rendering a requested electronic document containing the image area (col. 2, lines 60-67, col. 7, lines 51-67 and col. 8, lines 1-32), and

ii)receiving a selection of the image area (col. 8, lines 34-67 and col. 9, lines 1-29).

Given the teaching of Nielsen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wynblatt by allowing a visually impaired user to select an image area within the html page in order to receive an audio interpretation of the image.

As per claims 7, 10 and 19, Wynblatt discloses a method, computer program product and system for receiving a rendering of an image area in an electronic document, comprising:

 receiving a rendering of information contained within a header element of a second electronic document is referenced by said hypertext link (col. 3, lines 37-63, col. 5, lines 23-51).

However, Wynblatt does not explicitly disclose:

• selecting an image area within a first electronic document, wherein the image area is associated with a hypertext link.

Art Unit: 2157

In an analogous art, Nielsen discloses a method and apparatus for detecting and presenting client side image map attributes including:

selecting an image area within a first electronic document, wherein the image area is associated with a hypertext link (abstract, col. 2, lines 60-67, col. 7, lines 51-67 and col. 8, lines 1-32).

Given the teaching of Nielsen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wynblatt by allowing a visually impaired user to select a URL associated with an image on the html page in order to receive an audio interpretation of the image.

As per claims 8, 11 and 15, Wynblatt discloses a method, computer program product and system for receiving a rendering of an image area in an electronic document, comprising:

• receiving non-visual rendering information contained within the header element of the second electronic document in connection with a rendering of the first electronic document (col. 3, lines 37-63, col. 4, lines 61-67 and col. 5, lines 23-51).

However, Wynblatt does not explicitly disclose:

 selecting a first electronic document having an image area, wherein the image area is associated with a hypertext link to a second electronic document.

In an analogous art, Nielsen discloses a method and apparatus for detecting and presenting client side image map attributes including:

• selecting a first electronic document having an image area, wherein the image area is associated with a hypertext link to a second electronic document (abstract, col. 2, lines 60-67, col. 7, lines 51-67 and col. 8, lines 1-32).

Art Unit: 2157

Given the teaching of Nielsen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wynblatt by allowing a visually impaired user to select a URL associated with an image on the html page in order to receive an audio interpretation of the image.

As per claims 2 and 13, Wynblatt discloses:

• wherein the rendering is audible (abstract and col. 2, lines 40-51).

As per claims 3 and 16, Wynblatt discloses:

• wherein the rendering is by means of tactile feedback mechanism (col. 2, lines 8-15).

As per claims 4 and 17, Wynblatt discloses:

• wherein the information rendered from the header element of the second electronic document is a title (col. 3, lines 37-63, col. 5, lines 23-26, lines 37-40 and lines 49-51).

As per claims 5 and 18, Wynblatt discloses:

 wherein the information rendered from the header element of the second electronic document is a national language designation (col. 3, lines 37-63, col. 5, lines 23-26 and lines 37-40).

As per claims 6 and 14, Wynblatt discloses:

 wherein the information rendered from the header element of the second electronic document comprises meta elements specified by the document author (col. 4, lines 52-55 and col. 6, lines 5-30).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2157

Page 7

U.S. Pat. No. 5,819,220 to Sarukkai et al

U.S. Pat. No. 5,528,739 to Lucas et al

U.S. Pat. No. 5,186,629 to Rohen

U.S. Pat. No. 5,223,828 to Mckiel, Jr.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,353,850 to Wies et al

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T Jacobs whose telephone number is 703-305-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LaShonda T Jacobs Examiner Art Unit 2157

ltj July 22, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100